	Case 2:21-cv-01085-JAM-KJN Docume	nt 9 Filed 12/21/21 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	XEZAKIA ROUSE,	No. 2:21-cv-1085-JAM-KJN PS	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE, ¹	(ECF Nos. 2, 7)	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	On November 16, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF		
18	No. 7), which were served on the plaintiff and which contained notice that any objections to the		
19	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. On November 29,		
20	2021, plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 8), which have		
21	been considered by the court.		
22	This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which an		
23	objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore		
24	Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981); see also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d		
25	930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009). As to any portion of	f the proposed findings of fact to which no objection	
26			
27	¹ The Clerk of Court is instructed to update the caption of the case on the court's docket to refle a change in the named defendant from Laura Beltran to the California Secretary of State.		
28		1	
		<u>.</u>	

	Case 2:2:	1-cv-01085-JAM-KJN	Document 9 Filed 12/21/21 Page 2 of 2
1	has been made, the court assumes its correctness and decides the matter on the applicable law.		
2	See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's		
3	conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d		
4	452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).		
5	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,		
6	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,		
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
8	1.	The findings and recomr	mendations (ECF No. 7) are ADOPTED IN FULL;
9	2.	The action is DISMISSE	ED for failure to state a claim, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2);
10	3.	Plaintiff's motion to pro-	ceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is DENIED as moot; and
11	4.	The Clerk of Court is dir	rected to CLOSE this case.
12			
13	D (1 D	1 20 2021	//
14	Dated: Dec	cember 20, 2021	/s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
15			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			